

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,651	03/01/2002	Wilfried Merkel	1914	1961	
7	7590 06/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Striker Striker & Stenby			GRAHAM,	GRAHAM, GARY K	
103 East Neck Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_(//			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/018,651	MERKEL ET AL.	U/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary K Graham	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communical D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ar</u>	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

The benefit claim filed on 07 April 2004 was not entered because the required reference was not timely filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5). If the application is an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a nonprovisional application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the reference to the prior application must be made during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed application, applicant must file a petition for an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) or (a)(6). The petition must be accompanied by: (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted); (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t); and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The

Art Unit: 1744

Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be in single paragraph form. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 7-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 7, line 2, it appears "a" should be ---the--- since the wiper rod has already been set forth in claim 1. In claims 8-10, line 1, it appears "a" should be ---the--- since the wiper rod has already been set forth in claims 4-6, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, line 9, there is no antecedent basis for "the ends". It is noted that the ends are defined in claim 4. It appears that the limitation of claim 5 of the ends being bent at right angles should be set forth in a dependent claim off of claim 4. In line 10, there is no antecedent basis for "the linking end".

In claim 6, line 11, there is no antecedent basis for "the stamped blanks".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1744

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkel et al '301 in view of Teindas '897.

The patent to Merkel discloses the invention substantially as is claimed, including a wiper arm comprised of a sheet metal link element (60) with a wiper rod (62) formed thereon (figs.4, 10). The link element has a covering wall with spaced side walls (46,48), wherein said side walls are comprised of folded over metal layers. Merkel also discloses a hanging device (26) for a tension spring.

The patent to Merkel discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the hanging device comprised of a pin received into a slot in the side walls of the link element.

The patent to Teindas discloses a link element (26, fig. 4) of a wiper arm, wherein angled slots (50) are provided in side walls thereof to receive a pin (38) for supporting a tension spring.

Said slots (50) have detent portions (54,56) to retain the pin therein.

Art Unit: 1744

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide the wiper arm of Merkel with a hanging device in the form of a pin held in slots of the link element, instead of the integral hanging device, as clearly suggested by Teindas, to enable ready replacement of the hanging device.

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkel et al (German patent 19735301).

The patent to Merkel discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of ends of the hanging device (26) being bent at right angles towards a linking end.

While Merkel discloses the ends being bent, such is at an angle less than a right angle.

However, slight variation in such an angle appears well within that which one of skill in the art would find obvious during routine experimentation or optimization of the manufacturing of the hanging device.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to bend the ends of the hanging device to any angle desired, including as is claimed, by optimizing the hanging device, lacking any criticality of such angle.

Art Unit: 1744

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07 April 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth above, applicant's claim for priority has not been granted at this time. Therefore, the rejection by Merkel is still deemed tenable. Additionally, other deficiencies in the claims have been noted.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is allowed.

Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection thereto.

Claims 6 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

GKG 16 June 2004